

KEY FACTS FROM COMMISSIONER'S INVESTIGATION

18 of the 55 Crown attorney offices in Ontario (one-third) received background information about prospective jurors from external sources between March 2006 and June 2009.

Offices that <i>routinely</i> received juror background information	Information shared with defence counsel?	Estimated No. of jury trials where jury vetting may have occurred *
Barrie/Simcoe County	Never	53**
Cobourg/Northumberland County	Never	6
Goderich/Huron County	Occasionally	9
Haileybury/Temiskaming District	Occasionally	3
Owen Sound/Grey County	Routinely	11
Sarnia/Lambton County	Routinely	12
St. Thomas/Elgin County	Routinely	12
Thunder Bay/Thunder Bay District	Routinely	10
Offices that <i>occasionally</i> received juror background information		
Bracebridge/Muskoka District	Never	8
Chatham/Kent County	Never	0
Cornwall/Stormont, Dundas, Glengarry Counties	Never	8
Simcoe/Norfolk County	Never	1
Windsor/Essex County	Never	1
Woodstock/Oxford County	Never	4
Brockville/Leeds and Grenville Counties	Routinely	1
London/Middlesex County	Routinely	1
Sudbury/Sudbury District	Routinely	1
Toronto (Downtown)/Toronto Region	Routinely	2
		Total = 143

* These numbers include all jury trials where there was a potential for a collection of personal information in excess of what is permitted under *FIPPA/MFIPPA*.

** These numbers may be higher than the Ministry of the Attorney General's because the Ministry's focus relates to cases where there was a conviction and there was no disclosure to defence counsel.

