

**ONTARIO COURT (GENERAL DIVISION)  
(DIVISIONAL COURT)**

IN THE MATTER OF the *Freedom of Information  
and Protection of Privacy Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. F.31

B E T W E E N :

**THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO**

Applicant

- and -

**HOLLY BIG CANOE, Inquiry Officer,  
JOHN DOE and the LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA**

Respondents

---

E N D O R S E M E N T

Having heard from the parties, the court is satisfied that there is a serious question to be tried, that reasonable harm to the applicant is, in these circumstances, likely if a stay is not granted and that the balance of convenience lies with the applicant for essentially these same reasons. The stay therefore is continued to the hearing of the application for judicial review. The hearing of this matter, having regard to the circumstances, should be expedited and the parties have undertaken to cooperate in this respect. Costs fixed at \$300 and to be awarded by the panel hearing the merits of the application.

Dated: March 26, 1997

Adams, J.