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Why is the Protection of Privacy So Critical?

The need to protect the privacy of individuals’ personal health information has never been greater given the:

- Extreme sensitivity of personal health information
- Greater number of individuals involved in the delivery of health care to an individual
- Increased portability of personal health information
- Emphasis on information technology and electronic exchanges of personal health information
The Promise of Electronic Records

- Potential to facilitate more efficient and effective health care and improve the quality of health care provided
- Accessible by all health care providers involved in the health care of an individual, regardless of location
- More complete than paper records which tend to be spread over a wide range of health care providers
- Easier to read and locate than paper records
- Can be designed to enhance privacy, i.e. through access controls, audit logs and strong encryption
The Peril of Electronic Records

- If privacy is not built into their design and implementation, electronic records pose unique risks to privacy.

- Make it easier to transfer or remove personal health information from a secure location.

- May attract hackers and others with malicious intent.

- Increases the risk of authorized individuals accessing personal health information for unauthorized purposes.
Consequences of Inadequate Attention to Privacy

If inadequate attention is paid to privacy, this may result in:

- Discrimination, stigmatization and psychological or economic harm to individuals based on the information
- Individuals being deterred from seeking testing or treatment
- Individuals withholding or falsifying information provided to health care providers
- Loss of trust or confidence in the health system
- Costs and lost time in dealing with privacy breaches
- Legal liabilities and ensuing proceedings
Potential Causes of Privacy Breaches
1. Lack of Clarity Regarding Responsibilities in Shared Systems
Challenges Posed by Shared Electronic Health Record Systems

- Health information custodians may have custody or control of personal health information they create and contribute to, or collect from, shared electronic health record systems.
- No health information custodian has sole custody and control.
- All participating health information custodians and their agents will have access to the personal health information.
- These pose unique privacy risks and challenges for compliance with the Personal Health Information Protection Act (PHIPA).
How to Reduce the Risk ...

A governance framework and harmonized privacy policies and procedures are needed to:

- Set out the roles and responsibilities of each participating health information custodian
- Set out the expectations for all health information custodians and agents accessing personal health information
- Ensure all health information custodians are operating under common privacy standards
- Set out how the rights of individuals will be exercised
Harmonized Privacy Policies and Procedures Needed

Harmonized privacy policies and procedures should address:

- Privacy training
- Privacy assurance
- Logging, auditing and monitoring
- Consent management
- Privacy breach management
- Privacy complaints and inquiries management
- Access and correction
- Governance
...Some Examples
Policy and Procedures Related to Privacy Training and Awareness

- Requirement to provide and attend initial and ongoing training
- Person(s) responsible for developing and implementing training
- Required minimum content of training materials
- Requirement to review and refresh training materials and the person(s) responsible and the frequency of this review
- Requirement to track attendance at training and the person(s) responsible and the procedure to be followed in this regard
- The consequences for failure to attend training
- Mechanisms to foster a culture of privacy
Policy and Procedures Related to Consent Directives and Overrides

- Types of consent directives that may be requested and the systems in which the consent directives will be applied
- Purposes for which consent directives may be overridden and the length of time an override will be in place
- Duty to identify the purpose for the consent directive override
- Purposes for which personal health information collected as a result of a consent directive override may be used or disclosed
- Person(s) responsible, procedure and timeframe to implement consent directives and to log, audit and monitor overrides
Policy and Procedures Related to Auditing, Logging and Monitoring

- Set out events to be logged, audited and monitored, including:
  - Any time personal health information is collected, used or disclosed
  - A consent directive is made, withdrawn or modified
  - A consent directive is overridden

- Required content of each type of log and to whom the logs may be provided on request or otherwise

- Auditing and monitoring criteria

- Person(s) responsible for logging, auditing and monitoring

- Procedure if an actual or suspected privacy breach is identified
Policy and Procedures Related to Requests for Access and Correction

- Person(s) responsible for responding to requests in circumstances where the request relates to records:
  - Created or contributed solely by one health information custodian
  - Created or contributed by more than one health information custodian
  - Collected by the health information custodian

- Person(s) responsible for responding to requests for audit logs

- Person(s) responsible for validating identity

- Procedure and timeframe to log and forward the request, where applicable, and to notify the person making the request

- Requirement to maintain and display history of all corrections
2. Increased Portability of Personal Health Information
Orders HO-004, HO-007 and HO-008

Our office has issued three orders involving personal health information on mobile and portable devices:

**Order HO-004** – Theft of a laptop containing the unencrypted personal health information of 2,900 individuals

**Order HO-007** – Loss of a USB containing the unencrypted personal health information of 83,524 individuals

**Order HO-008** – Theft of a laptop containing the unencrypted personal health information of 20,000 individuals
How to Reduce the Risk....

- **STOP** and ask “Do I really need to store personal health information on this device?”

- **THINK** about the alternatives:
  - Would de-identified or coded information serve the purpose?
  - Could the information instead be accessed remotely through a secure connection or virtual private network?

- If you need to retain it on such a device, **PROTECT** it by:
  - Ensuring it is encrypted and protected with strong passwords
  - Retaining the least amount of personal health information
  - Developing policies and procedures, train and audit compliance
3. Unauthorized Access
Meaning of Unauthorized Access

When you view, handle or otherwise deal with personal health information without consent and for purposes not permitted by *PHIPA*, for example:

- When not providing or assisting in the provision of health care to the individual; and
- When not necessary for the purposes of exercising employment, contractual or other responsibilities

The act of viewing personal health information on its own, without any further action, is an unauthorized access
Consequences of Unauthorized Access

- Review or investigation by privacy oversight bodies
- Prosecution for offences
- Statutory or common law actions
- Discipline by employers
- Discipline by regulatory bodies
Orders HO-002, HO-010 and HO-013

Our office has issued three orders involving unauthorized access:

**Order HO-002**
- A registered nurse accessed records of the estranged spouse of her boyfriend to whom she was not providing care
- They were accessed over six-weeks during divorce proceedings

**Order HO-010**
- A diagnostic imaging technologist accessed records of the current spouse of her former spouse to whom she was not providing care
- They were accessed on six occasions over nine months

**Order HO-013**
- Two employees accessed records to market and sell RESPs
Examples from Other Jurisdictions—Alberta

Prosecution in 2007

- A medical office clerk plead guilty and was fined $10,000 under the *Health Information Act*
- Accessed the information of the wife of a man with whom she was having an affair using Alberta Netcare and fax
- Accessed the information on six different occasions

Investigation Report H2011-IR-004

- Physician used Alberta Netcare to view records of a partner's former spouse and mother and girlfriend of the former spouse
- Used the accounts of colleagues who failed to log out
- Viewed records on 21 occasions over a period of 15 months
Examples from Other Jurisdictions—Alberta

Investigation Report Pending

- Pharmacist plead guilty and was fined $15,000 under the *Health Information Act*
- Used Alberta Netcare to view the records of a number of women who attended her church and posted the prescription information of some of the women on Facebook

Prosecution in 2014

- A medical laboratory assistant received a four month conditional sentence, eight months probation and a $500 fine
- Accessed the personal health information of 34 individuals and uttered forged documents under the *Criminal Code*
Examples from Other Jurisdictions—Saskatchewan

Investigation Report H-2010-001

- Pharmacist used the Pharmaceutical Information Program, a domain repository in Saskatchewan’s electronic health record, to view drug profiles of three individuals on nine occasions after a business arrangement with the individuals dissolved.

Investigation Report H-2013-001

- Employees of Regina Qu’Appelle Regional Health Authority viewed their own health information, viewed and modified the health information of other employees and viewed the health information of other individuals.
Examples from Other Jurisdictions – Manitoba

Report 2011-0513 and 2011-0514

- An employee of CancerCare Manitoba viewed the electronic medical record of a child of an acquaintance
- The employee viewed three tabs – patient notes, agenda and summary – for two minutes two seconds
- Because the record was created earlier that day, the employee was only able to view the name and cancer registry number
Examples from Other Jurisdictions – Newfoundland and Labrador

Prosecution in September 2014

- An employee of Western Health plead guilty and was fined $5000 under the *Personal Health Information Act*
- Accessed personal health information for unauthorized purposes on 75 occasions within a span of less than one month

Prosecution in October 2014

- A nurse employed by Eastern Health was found guilty and fined $1000 under the *Personal Health Information Act*
- Accessed personal health information for unauthorized purposes on 18 occasions over a one year period
How to Reduce the Risk...

- Clearly articulate the purposes for which employees, staff and other agents may access personal health information
- Provide ongoing training and use multiple means of raising awareness such as:
  - Confidentiality and end-user agreements
  - Privacy notices and privacy warning flags
- Immediately terminate access pending an investigation
- Implement appropriate access controls and data minimization
- Log, audit and monitor access to personal health information
- Impose appropriate discipline for unauthorized access
New Guidance Document: Detecting and Deterring Unauthorized Access

- Impact of unauthorized access
- Reducing the risk through:
  - Policies and procedures
  - Training and awareness
  - Privacy notices and warning flags
  - Confidentiality and end-user agreements
  - Access management
  - Logging, auditing and monitoring
  - Privacy breach management
  - Discipline
How to Contact Us

Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario
2 Bloor Street East, Suite 1400
Toronto, Ontario, Canada
M4W 1A8

Phone: (416) 326-3333 / 1-800-387-0073
Web: www.ipc.on.ca
E-mail: info@ipc.on.ca